Kenneth R. Adamo Major Cases Tried To Verdict/Judgment (February 2011)

Prior to joining Kirkland & Ellis in 2011:

Velsicol Chemical Corp. v. Monstanto Co., No. 74-C-3702 (N.D. Ill. 1978), 579 F. 2d 1038 (7th Cir. 1978) [bench trial]

Priority of invention contest; represented Velsicol Chem., Inc.

Standard Oil of Indiana v. Montedison SpA, 494 F. Supp. 370 (D Del. 1980), aff'd, 664 F.2d 356 (3d Cir. 1982), cert denied, 456 U.S. 915 (1982) [bench trial]

Priority of invention contest; represented Phillips Petroleum Company.

JP Tool, Inc. v. Valeron, No. 79-74134-DT (E.D. Mich. 1983) [bench trial]

Patent infringement action; represented JP Tool.

Air Products & Chem., Inc v. Chas S. Tanner Co., Civil Action No. 79-826 (D.S. Car. 1983) [bench trial]

Patent infringement and trade secret misappropriation action, patent relating to vinyl acetate/ethylene co-polymer emulsions; represented Air Products.

See 219 USPQ 233 (D.S. Car. 1983).

Picker International v. Philips Ultrasound, No. (S.D. Ohio 1985) [bench trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Picker; patent directed to ultrasonic imaging technology.

Electro-Biology, Inc. v. Am Medical Electronics, Inc., Civil Action No. 3-84-0630-G (N.D. Tex 1986) [bench trial]

Patent infringement action, represented AME; patent directed to bone healing technology.

Sturtevant et al. v. Van Remortel, et al., Civil Action No. 93 cv 3466 (S.D.N.Y. 1995) [bench trial]

Represented patent owner Van Remortel; claim of co-inventorship for patent related to software based system using insurance to fund employee benefits plan.

See 38 USPQ2d 1134 (S.D.N.Y. 1995).

Exxon Chemical Patents, Inc. v. The Lubrizol Corporation, No. H-89-3203 (S.D. Tex. 1993), rev'd, 64 F. 3d 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1995), cert denied, 518 U.S. 1020 (1996) [jury trials]

Patent infringement action, represented Lubrizol; patent directed to stabilization system for lubricating oil additives.

Texas Instruments, Inc. v. Analog Devices, et al. [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Texas Instruments, \$52 MM jury verdict, court granted, JMOL, affirmed on appeal (90 F. 3d 1553 (Fed. Cir. 1996)).

Cardinal American Corp. v. Jack Post Corporation, Civil Action No. 97cv310 (N.D. Ohio 1997) [jury trial]

Patent infringement/trade dress infringement action, represented Jack Post; patent directed to lawn furniture; involved cross claims under Cardinal and Jack Post patents; jury verdict of \$1.4MM, for Jack Post; post trial motions, willfulness/enhanced damages granted for Jack Post.

Picker International Inc. v. Mayo Foundation, No. 95cv2028 (N.D. Ohio 1998) [jury trial]

DJ action, represented Picker; challenged alleged patent infringement of Mayo patent directed to software-based artifact correction process for MRI imaging units.

Texas Instruments v. Hyundai Electronics Industries Co., et al. [jury trial; bench trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Texas Instruments; patents directed to asynchronous processing (Head patents); jury found patents valid; infringed, willful infringement, awarded \$25.2MM verdict; post jury verdict bench trial on misuse defenses, judgment for TI; settled for \$1.2 billion, as ruling on multiple damages pending.

See 49 F. Supp 23 893 (E.D. Tex 1999).

Drori v. Amiad U.S.A. Inc., Civil Action No. 1:98CV2828 [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Amiad U.S.A. Inc. patent for filters for agricultural and irrigation applications.

Encyclopaedia Britannica, et al. v. Q. Todd Dickinson, Cmm'r of Patents and Trademarks, Civil Action No. 98 CV 00209 (ESH) (D.D.C. 2001) [bench trial]

35 U.S.C. § 145 *de novo* appeal from USPTO refusal to grant a reexamination certificate for US Letters Patent No. 5,241,671, represented Encyclopaedia Britannica.

National Instruments Corp. v. The MathWorks, Inc., Civil Action No. 2:01-cv-011 (E.E. Tex 2001), 113 Fed. Appx. 896, 2004 U.S. App. LEXIS 18807 (Fed. Cir. 2004), contempt proceeding, 164 Fed. Appx. 997, 2006 U.S. App. LEXIS 4068 (Fed. Cir. 2006) [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Math Works; four (4) patents relating to software for modeling and simulating dynamic systems.

Teleflex Inc. v. Ficosa International, Civil Action No. 98-73355 (E.D. Mich 2000), 299 F. 3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2002) [jury trial; bench trial]

Patent infringement action, represented Ficosa: three (3) patents directed to automotive transmission cable components.

Saudi Basic Industries v. ECAI and Mobil Yanbu, Case No. 00 C-07-161-JRJ (Del. Superior Ct. 2000) [jury trial]

Represented SABIC, DJ action that SABIC did not breach two (2) JV agreements.

See 866 A.2d 1 (2005).

NTP, Inc. v. Research in Motion, Ltd., Civil Action No. 3:01 CV 767 (E.D. Va. 2001) [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, represented RIM; patents relating to wireless "push" email technology.

In the Matter of Certain Electric Robots and Component Parts Thereof, Investigation No. 337-TA-530 (USITC 2005) [bench trial]

Allegations of improper importation, patent infringement, represented Yaskawa Electric; patent relating to electric robots used in painting automobiles.

Novozymes v. Genencor Int'l. Inc., Civil Action No. 05-160 (D. Del. 2005) (PI: 79 USPQ2d 1858 (D.Del. 2005) [bench trial]; liability: 446 F. Supp. 2d 297 (D. Del. 2006) [bench trial]; damages: ____ F. Supp. 2d ____ (D. Del. 2007) [bench trial])

Patent infringement action, representing Genencor;

Patent directed to alpha amylase enzymes for fuel ethanol.

In re Certain Semiconductor Chips with Minimized Chip Package Size and Products Containing Same, Investigation 337-TA-605 (USITC 2007) [bench trial]

Allegations of improper importation patent infringement, represented Freescale Semiconductor, patents related to packaging of semiconductor devices.

Soverain Software LLC v. Newegg, Civil Action No. 6:07-CV-00514 (E.D. Tex. 2007) [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, representing Soverain Software; patents directed to systems and applications to Internet-based e-commerce.

Network-1 Sec. Solutions, Inc. v. Cisco Sys., Inc., et al., Civil Action No. 6:08-cv-00030-LED (E.D. Tex. 2008) [jury trial]

Patent infringement action, representing Extreme Networks; patent directed to power over Ethernet, IEEE 802.3 of standard.

Major Cases Resolved After Successful Entry of Preliminary Injunction

Lubrizol Corp. v. Exxon Corp., 696 F. Supp. 302 (N.D. Ohio 1988).

Patent infringement action, represented Lubrizol; eight (8) Lubrizol patents directed to lubricating compostions and additives.

Picker Intl., Inc. v. Blanton, 756 F. Supp. 971 (N.D. Tex 1990).

Employee break-off, trade secret violation; represented Picker.

Armond D. Budish v. Harley Gordon, 784 F. Supp. 1320 (N.D. Ohio 1992).

Copyright infringement matter, re book "Avoiding the Medicaid Trap: How to Beat The Catastrophic Costs of Nursing home Care"; represented Budish.