

# KIRKLAND M&A UPDATE

August 11, 2009

## Recent District Court Ruling Addresses Third-Party Trading on Confidential Information

*The decision calls into question whether a confidentiality agreement between a company and a shareholder that does not contain restrictions on the use of confidential information received by the shareholder can be the basis of an insider trading claim under the “misappropriation” theory.*

Public companies often require non-insider shareholders and other third parties to enter into confidentiality agreements before the public companies disclose confidential information to such persons. In many cases, the confidentiality agreement only requires the recipient to keep the information confidential and does not specifically prohibit the recipient from trading on that information. Until now the SEC (and many commentators) believed that a specific “use” prohibition was unnecessary, as the duty to keep the information confidential was sufficient to impose liability on the recipient if he traded in securities of the company while in possession of the information. A recent federal court decision—*Securities and Exchange Commission v. Mark Cuban*—has called that reasoning into question.

Since the 1960s, the SEC has prosecuted persons trading on the basis of material nonpublic information under Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5, which prohibit the use of “deceptive devices” in connection with the purchase and sale of securities. Prosecutions generally apply one of two theories. First, under the “classical” theory, a fiduciary of the company acts deceptively when he or she trades using material nonpublic information because the trade violates the fiduciary’s duty to disclose the information to the counterparty prior to executing the trade. Second, under the more recently developed “misappropriation” theory, liability may attach to a trader who was entrusted with access to the confidential information, even though the trader may have no duty to the issuing company or its shareholders.

*Cuban* is a misappropriation theory case. According to the SEC’s complaint, in spring 2004 Mamma.com, a Canadian company traded on the NASDAQ (now known as Copernic Inc.), decided to raise capital through a private (or PIPE) issuance of stock. Prior to making a public announcement, the Mamma.com CEO disclosed the PIPE offering to Cuban (its then-largest known shareholder) by telephone, but only after obtaining Cuban’s agreement

### Kirkland Ranks Among the Top Legal Advisers for M&A

Kirkland partner Stephen Fraidin selected as “Dealmaker of the Week”  
*The AmLaw Daily*, July 2009

Tier 1 - M&A (National Firms, Large Deals) and Private Equity Buyouts,  
*The Legal 500 U.S.* 2009

Tier 1 - Private Equity Transactions,  
*IFLR1000* 2009

1st - Most Active Law Firms Representing Private Equity Firms for Q2 2009,  
Pitchbook’s *Private Equity Breakdown*

Tier 1 - Corporate/M&A and Private Equity in Illinois and California,  
*Chambers USA* 2009

1st - Global Buyouts by volume,  
1st - USA Buyouts by volume,  
Mergermarket’s *Global M&A Round-Up for Year End* 2008

1st - Private Equity by volume,  
*The American Lawyer’s*  
Corporate Scorecard 2008

Top Five Ranking - U.S. Completed Deals by volume, Thomson Reuters’ 2008  
*M&A Legal Advisory Review*

Named as one of *The Lawyer’s* “Transatlantic Elite” in 2008 & 2009

Top Ten Ranking - M&A by volume,  
2009 *Corporate Control Alert*

One of the most frequently used law firms by Fortune 100 companies,  
*The National Law Journal*  
“Who Represents Corporate America”

to keep confidential the information he was about to receive.

Despite stating at the end of the call, “Well, now I’m screwed. I can’t sell,” Cuban sold all of his shares shortly afterwards. By selling his shares prior to the public announcement of the PIPE offering, Cuban avoided losses of more than \$750,000. The SEC filed suit against Cuban alleging insider trading.

The United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas dismissed the suit, distinguishing an agreement not to disclose confidential information from an agreement not to use the information. In its view, a duty to keep information confidential was compatible with a trade based on material nonpublic information, since the trade does not involve or result in the *disclosure* of the confidential information. Thus, while Cuban agreed to keep the information confidential, he never agreed to any restrictions on its use and was thus free to trade upon it. As a result, according to the

Court, there had been no deceptive act, so the SEC could not prosecute. The Court also concluded that Rule 10b5-2(b)(1) exceeded the SEC’s power to regulate deceptive practices because the mere agreement to keep information confidential did not establish the necessary duty of trust or confidence to render trading on that information illegal.

Though the decision in *Cuban* might lead to future revisions of SEC regulations to reflect *Cuban*’s emphasis on restricting use of confidential information, any party seeking to trade based upon confidential information should be wary of relying upon *Cuban* until such revisions occur, as there is little indication yet that the SEC will change its enforcement strategy in light of this opinion. However, companies concerned that stockholders and other persons receiving confidential information may seek to rely upon *Cuban* to trade on the information may consider requiring recipients to agree to both keep the information confidential and refrain from using it for trading (or other) purposes.

---

If you have any questions about the matters addressed in this *Kirkland M&A Update*, please contact the following Kirkland authors or your regular Kirkland contact.

**Dennis M. Myers, P.C.**  
<http://www.kirkland.com/dmyers>  
+1 312-862-2232

**Michael Chu**  
<http://www.kirkland.com/mchu>  
+1 312-862-2101

**Chicago**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
300 North LaSalle  
Chicago, IL 60654  
+1 (312) 862-2000  
+1 (312) 862-2200 fax

**Hong Kong**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
26th Floor  
Gloucester Tower  
The Landmark  
15 Queen's Road Central  
Hong Kong  
+852-3761-3300  
+852-3761-3301 fax

**London**

Kirkland & Ellis  
International LLP  
30 St Mary Axe  
London, EC3A 8AF  
United Kingdom  
+44 20 7469 2000  
+44 20 7469 2001 fax

**Los Angeles**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
777 South Figueroa Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
+1 (213) 680-8400  
+1 (213) 680-8500 fax

**Munich**

Kirkland & Ellis  
International LLP  
Maximilianstrasse 11  
80539 Munich  
Germany  
+49 89 2030 6000  
+49 89 2030 6100 fax

**New York**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
+1 (212) 446-4800  
+1 (212) 446-4900 fax

**Palo Alto**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
950 Page Mill Road  
Palo Alto, CA 94304  
+1 (650) 859-7000  
+1 (650) 859-7500 fax

**San Francisco**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
555 California Street  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
+1 (415) 439-1400  
+1 (415) 439-1500 fax

**Washington, D.C.**

Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
+1 (202) 879-5000  
+1 (202) 879-5200 fax

## M&A Practice at Kirkland & Ellis

Kirkland & Ellis LLP is a leading adviser to public companies on all types of mergers and acquisitions transactions, including tender and exchange offers, going private transactions, acquisitions and dispositions of subsidiaries, divisions and other assets and joint ventures. The firm is able to draw upon leaders in the areas of M&A, corporate governance, securities, tax, antitrust, restructuring, intellectual property, ERISA and environmental law to provide our clients with comprehensive and innovative advice. We have experienced M&A teams in our domestic, European and Asian offices with the capability to execute complex domestic and multi-jurisdictional transactions. Our M&A practice is further bolstered by our extensive experience in corporate governance matters. We routinely counsel boards of directors, board committees and executive officers regarding significant transactions, takeover readiness, disclosure issues, Sarbanes-Oxley matters and internal investigations.

## Recent Kirkland Deals

**NRG Energy Inc.** (NYSE:NRG) in successfully defeating Exelon Corp. (NYSE:EXC) in its \$7.5 billion hostile takeover bid

**Metavante Technologies Inc.** (NYSE:MV) in its pending \$2.4 billion sale to Fidelity National Information Services Inc. (NYSE:FIS)

**The Boeing Company** (NYSE:BA) in its pending \$580 million acquisition of the South Carolina operations of Vought Aircraft Industries

**Apax Partners** in its pending \$571 million acquisition of Bankrate, Inc. (NasdaqGS:RATE)

**FCI Americas Inc.** in the pending \$360 million sale of its Burndy unit to Hubbell Inc. (NYSE:HUB.B)

**Pershing Square Capital Management LP** in its proxy fight against Target Corp. (NYSE:TGT)

**TNS Inc.** (NYSE:TNS) in its \$230 million acquisition of Communication Services Group from VeriSign Inc. (Nasdaq:VRSN)

## KIRKLAND M&A UPDATE

## KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

### EDITORS

**Thomas W. Christopher**

<http://www.kirkland.com/tchristopher>

+1 (212) 446-4790

**Cheryl L. Kaeser**

<http://www.kirkland.com/ckaeser>

+1 (212) 446-4783

### SUBSCRIPTIONS

To subscribe to *Kirkland M&A Update*, please e-mail [maupdate@kirkland.com](mailto:maupdate@kirkland.com).

This publication is distributed with the understanding that the author, publisher and distributor of this publication and/or any linked publication are not rendering legal, accounting, or other professional advice or opinions on specific facts or matters and, accordingly, assume no liability whatsoever in connection with its use. Pursuant to applicable rules of professional conduct, this publication may constitute Attorney Advertising. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.

© 2009 KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP. All rights reserved.

[www.kirkland.com](http://www.kirkland.com)