Ken Adamo is a partner in the Intellectual Property group in Kirkland’s Chicago and New York offices. Ken has extensive trial experience as lead counsel in jury and nonjury cases before state and federal courts and before the United States International Trade Commission, as well as ex parte and post-grant PTAB experience in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. He has had substantial experience as lead counsel in arbitrations and other alternative dispute resolution proceedings, and actively practices before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, having appeared in over 40 appeals to date.

In 2011, Ken was named to IAM Patent Litigation 250 - The World's Leading Patent Litigators, ranked as a top attorney in the "Individuals - U.S. International Trade Commission," and, as a Band 1 lawyer in "Individuals - Illinois" and "Individuals - National" categories. He was recognized as a "true leader and luminary trial lawyer" who is "sharp and extremely effective both in federal district court litigation and before the ITC." In 2012, in IAM's The World's Leading Patent Practitioners 1000, he was again named a top attorney in Individuals - US International Trade Commission, and was named to Gold Band Individuals - Litigation in Illinois. In 2013, he continued in the IAM 1000 publication as a Gold Band Individual - Litigation in Illinois, being described as "a 'brash and bold winner' who secures superb results for clients." In 2014 and 2015, Ken maintained those rankings, with IAM saying he’s a "Nationally renowned trial lawyer . . . [who] has a tremendous amount of energy and is a commanding presence in the courtroom" and "is a polished trial lawyer with extensive district court, ITC, appellate, alternative forum and Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB) experience." In 2016, IAM noted that "You can’t be too dazzled by the depth and strength of the firm’s bench of first-chair trial lawyers. Ken Adamo is another big name in the group; he litigates high-stakes cases across the IP spectrum and excels at all levels and in all forums, including the ITC and PTAB". IAM 1000 - The World's Leading Patent Professional 2017, named Ken as another best-in-class advocate: "Ken practiced patent litigation well before it came into vogue, and has unrivaled experience and a rare depth of substantive patent law knowledge. He takes the time to get to know your business and goals, and will protect your interests zealously. He does a good job training and developing new talent for the next generation of representation for his clients - a lot of senior lawyers take their eye off that particular ball, but not Ken."

Among his peers, he is lauded as a "laser-focused, high-energy lawyer who does an awful lot of work without ever getting flustered." In IAM 1000 - The World’s Leading Patent Professional 2018, Ken was again praised for his courtroom practice: “[Ken] Adamo’s name resonates with the business elite, not only because of its synonymy with trial and appellate excellence, but also its association with integrity, commercial expertise and encyclopedic patent law knowledge."

Who’s Who Legal Patents 2014, 2015, and 2016, named him as one of its Most Highly Regarded Individuals, saying that "the ‘phenomenal’ Kenneth Adamo . . . is widely acknowledged as a ‘frontrunner’ of US patent litigation. He regularly appears as lead counsel before state and federal courts and before the International Trade Commission, and recently acted in high profile infringement cases for clients including IBM, Samsung . . . .

IP Stars Illinois 2017 noted that a peer said that Ken is “a very strong patent lawyer and very good in the courtroom,” adding that “even though he has a New York lawyer personality, he does well in the East Texas courtroom,” and, further, that he is “very strategic in how he approaches cases and has a good understanding of the technology so it’s easy for him to simplify.”

The 2017 edition of Chambers USA, America’s Leading Lawyers for Business, ranked Ken Gold Band Individuals - Litigation in Illinois for the seventh consecutive year, noting that he is “incredibly seasoned, very experienced,” and “has an encyclopaedic understanding of patent law.” In Chambers Global, The World's Leading Lawyers for Business 2016, sources say Ken “brings an incredible understanding of the law and a depth of experience that few, if any, other practitioners can offer.” In 2010, Chambers ranked Ken as a Star Performer in Ohio and Band 1 in Texas, with clients remarking that he “has unparalleled stature as a patent lawyer and is ‘incredibly smart with a strong presence that makes him very persuasive to a judge and jury.’” “He’s got the energy, the eye for detail and the courtroom presence - he’s just a superb trial lawyer.”

Recent major representations in intellectual property litigation as lead counsel include U.S. federal court and ITC cases for Citicorp, CQG, IBM, JP Morgan Chase, MediaTek, Procter & Gamble, Otter Products, Samsung, TEL, Texas Instruments, TSMC, and Xilinx.

Representative Matters

To view Significant Lead Trial Counsel Representations, please click here.

To view the List of Major Cases Tried to Verdict/Judgment, please click here.

To view the Description of Major Cases Tried to Verdict/Judgment, please click here.

Publications

April Showers Bring Supreme Court Flowers: What’s Next for the PTAB After Oil States and SAS, INTELL. PROP. MAGAZINE (June 2018).

Three Years on from Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. v. Sandoz, Inc., Where Are We Now?, PHARMA. PATENT ANALYST (forthcoming 2018).


USA: Who Gets the Last Word? Petitioner Replies to POPRs, AIPPI E-NEWS NO. 56 (Feb. 22, 2018).


Border Enforcement - USA, AIPPI, AIPPI Enforcement Committee (2016).


Kessler Doctrine Continues to Protect Products (and Customers) From Abusive Patent Litigation, Even After 108 Years, INTELL. PROP. MAGAZINE 64-66 (Oct. 2015). Also published as:


United We Stand, Divided We Fall (a review of the Federal Circuit’s decision in Akamai Techs., Inc. v. Limelight Networks, Inc.) (2015).


The Last Word, Patent World, Issue 185 (June 2008)


Degree of Flexibility, Patent World, Issue 194 (July/August 2007)


Section 1782 – A Powerful Tool For Obtaining Discovery to Assist Foreign Litigation, 33 AIPLA QJ 337 (Fall 2005)


Trying Your Case While Making Your Appellate Record, or How I Learned to Look over My Shoulder and Walk a Straight Line at the Same Time, The IP Litigator, Vol. 7 No. 2 (February 2001)


Alternative Dispute Resolution International Litigation Pending Legislation, The IP Litigator, Vol. 5, No. 5 (September/October 1999)

Interplay of Injunction and Discovery Proceedings with Arbitration, Patent World, Issue 115, (September 1999)


The Power of Suggestion (Teaching, Reason or Motivation) and Combined-Reference Obviousness, *Patent World*, Issues 54 and 55, (July/August and September 1993)


Basic Motion Practice For The Accused Infringer, 15 *AIPLA Q.J.* 123 (1987)


Problems Connected with Acquisition, Licensing and Enforcement of Intellectual Property, 50 Albany Law Review 475 (Spring 1986)


Seminars

"Strategies for Establishing the Best Record Below in District Court and PTAB Appeals," Federal Circuit Appeals: Righting Perceived Wrongs Below, AIPPL Annual Meeting, Washington, D.C. (October 26, 2018 (Friday PM Track 2))


SC Enforcement Committee presentation of HCCH Judgement resolution, re Hague Convention; chaired full meeting plenary session, leading to AIPPI Resolution, HCCH Judgement Project (Sept 24, 2018); presentation, Panel VIII, "Linking Into the Digital Era: Direct Infringement Liability Under United States Law" (Sept 25, 2018), AIPPI Congress, Cancun, Mexico (September 23–26, 2018)


"Latest Views From the U.S. on FRAND Damages: TCL v. LM Ericsson," Essential Patents and Licenses FRAND - From Standardization to the Calculation of Royalties, APEB and LES France, Paris, France (July 3, 2018)

"Nuances in Amending Patent Claims Before the PTAB and Avoiding Tribal Immunity at the PTAB," IPLAC Litigation Committee Seminar, Chicago, IL (May 22, 2018)


"Panel 1 TC Heartland: Returning to Life Under Section 1400(b) Venue; Regular and Established Place of Business (of Defendant)," NYIPLA One-Day Patent CLE Seminar, New York, NY (November 16, 2017)

"Recent Developments in Claims Construction," 22nd Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, The University of Texas School of Law, Austin, TX (November 2-3, 2017)


"Proper Venue Post TC Heartland: Should I Cancel My Flight to Texas?" IPLAC Litigation Committee Seminar, Chicago, IL (September 25, 2017)


"Venue Transfer and Section 1400(b) After Heartland," Intellectual Property Owners Association IP Chat Channel Webinar (June 13, 2017)

"Trial Demonstratives: Seeing is Believing," The Richard Linn American Inn of Court and IPLAC, Chicago, IL (May 17, 2017)

"Parallel Litigation—U.S. District Court and the Patent Trial and Appeal Board," ABA Section of Litigation, Section Annual Conference, San Francisco, CA (May 3, 2017)


"State of Play Review of US Landscape Through 2016,” Standards, Patents & Competition: Law and Litigation, Grange City Hotel, London, UK (December 5-6, 2016)


"Managing / Trying Cases in a Post Issuance Review World: Life At The PTAB / District Court Interface,” NYIPLA, Cornell Club, NY (September 21, 2016)


"Biotech and Pharma Patenting Conference 2016,” IBC Legal Conferences, Munich, DE (June 23-24, 2016)


SRR 2015 Intellectual Property Symposium, Chicago, IL (November 5, 2015), The Intersection of Innovation, Law, and Finance; “Has the Federal Circuit Created a “House of Cards” for Patent Infringement Damages? Perspectives from Both Sides of the Courtroom”


"Litigating Essential Patents in USA, France, Germany, China and UK - Comparison of Globalisation of Strategies & Registering and Disputing Patents.” IBC Standards & Patents Conference, London, UK (December 2-3, 2014)

"PTAB: Views from the Bench and the Bar”, IPLAC IP Law Symposium 2014, Chicago, IL (November 14, 2014)


Patent Litigation - Views From The Bench, Patent Litigation Seminar, Practising Law Institute, Chicago IL (October 6-7, 2014)


Administrative Challenge to US Patents, 40th Annual Intellectual Property Law Summer Institute, Grand Hotel, Mackinac Island MI (July 17-19, 2014)
Principles of Patent Litigation: AIA Key Recent Changes, Federal Judicial Center Patent Litigation Seminar, Menlo Park CA (June 30-July 1, 2014)
Helping Jurors in Patent Cases, Federal Judicial Center Patent Litigation Seminar, Menlo Park CA (June 30-July 1, 2014)
USPTO Post-Grant Review Proceedings: Lessons Learned, Questions Pending and Strategic Considerations, 21st Annual Technology & Law Seminar, K&L LLP, Chicago (May 20, 2014)
The America Invents Act and USPTO Post Grant Review, Dow / Dow Corning Presentation, Midland, Michigan (May 29, 2013)
Trial Judge Responsibilities During a US Jury Trial in IP Matters, JIPA Presentation, Osaka, Japan (May 23, 2013)
Relief in IP Proceedings Other than Injunctions or Damages, AIPLA 2013 Spring Meeting, Seattle, WA (May 1, 2013)
IPO Chat Channel Webinar, Who Gets The Last Word? Litigation and Post-grant Proceeding Strategy after In Re Baxter (December 6, 2012)
Developments in Claim Construction, 17th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, UT Austin Law School, Austin, TX (November 1-2, 2012)
AIPLA 2012 Mid-Winter Institute, Las Vegas, NV, "Managing 'Adverse' Relationships: The Role of Civility in Advocacy" (January 23-26, 2012)
16th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, UT Austin Law School, Austin, TX, "Developments in Claim Construction" (October 27-28, 2011)
Ligation-Savvy, Post-KSR Patent and Trademark Office Practice Considerations; Tips and "Tricks" on Trying a Chemical/Pharmaceutical/Biotech Case in That Same (Unsettled) Post-KSR World, Chemical Patent Practice Road Show: Prosecution and Litigation Strategies, AIPLA Roadshow, Chicago, IL (June 2011)
Current Developments in Claim Construction, 15th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, University of Texas School of Law Austin, Texas (October 2010)
USITC Practice, Intellectual Property Owners Association® 2010 Annual Meeting, Atlanta, Georgia (September 2010)
Determining Freedom to Operate Under U.S. Patents, 10th Annual IP Law Summer School, Cambridge, UK (August 2010)
Litigating Biotech / Pharma Patents in the US Courts: Recent Developments, IBC Biotech & Pharmaceutical Patenting Conference, Munich (February 2010)


Highlighting the Disputes and Infringements Issues That May Impact Your FTO, 9th Annual IP Law Summer School, Cambridge UK (August 2009)


The Thirty Years (of) War: Things To Do/Not To do in Trying a Patent Case, Advanced Patent Law Institute, Santa Clara, California (December 2008)


Current Developments in Claim Construction, IBM In-House CLE, Armonk, New York (November 2008)

Current Developments in Claim Construction, 2008 Advanced Patent Law Institute, Austin, TX (October 2008)

The Third Annual BNA/ABA Section of IP Patent Law Conference, Arlington, Virginia, October 2008

The Rest of the Story (Puddleburgers), 41st Congress of the International Association for the Protection of Intellectual Property Boston (September 2008)


Determining Freedom to Operate Under U.S. Patents, C5 5th International FTO Forum, London (June 2008)

Highlighting the Disputes and Infringements Issues That May Impact Your FTO, C5 5th International FTO Forum, London (June 2008)

Fundamentals of Patent Law, ABA IPL Mid-Year Meeting, Los Angeles (February 2008)

Implications of Recent Supreme Court Decisions on Intellectual Property, PWC Leadership Forum, Dana Point, California (February 2008)


Degree of Flexibility, Japan Intellectual Property Association US Patent Law Seminar, Osaka, Japan (December 2007)


United States Freedom To Operate: What Are the Risks of Infringement?, IBC 7th Annual IP Summer School, Cambridge UK (August 2007)

What Happens if You Get Your Assessment Wrong: The View From Europe And The U.S., C5 International FTO Forum, London (March 2007)

Permanent Injunctions After eBay, IPO Damages & Litigation Committees Conference, Washington (March 2007)

Controlling Costs and Managing Executive Expectations, ACI In-House Counsel Summit on Managing & Settling Corporate Patent Litigation, New York City (March 2007)
eBay v. MercExchange, The Troll Wars Are Not Over, C5 Communications and Software Patents Seminar, London (January 2007)
What the Troll's Tolls Are Likely To Be; Pre-Emptive and Response Strategies For the Targeted Company, C5 Communications and Software Patents Seminar, London (January 2007)
What the Troll's Tolls Are Likely To Be; Pre-Emptive and Response Strategies For the Targeted Company, IBC Patent Trolls Seminar, London (December 2006)
Determining Freedom to Operate Under U.S. Patents, C5 European FTO Congress, Munich (November 2006)
What Happens if You Get Your Assessment Wrong: The View From Europe And The U.S., C5 European FTO Congress, Munich (November 2006)
Current Developments in Claim Construction, 11th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, Austin, Texas (October 2006)
Patent Law Developments, All Ohio Annual Institute on Intellectual Property, Cleveland and Cincinnati, Ohio (September 2006)
Other Key Developments Emerging From the US – Bringing the US in Line with Europe, C5 15th Annual International Patent Litigation, London (September 2006)
What Happens if You Get Your Assessment Wrong: The View From Europe And The U.S., C5 Freedom to Operate Conference, Panel Discussion, London (July 2006)
Claim Construction, 10th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, San Jose, California (December 2005)
Settlement, Mediation and Arbitration, LSI Pre & Early Stage Patent Litigation Seminar, Atlanta, Georgia (November 2005)
Claim Construction, 10th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, Austin, Texas (October 2005)
Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, Japan Intellectual Property Association Seminar, Washington D.C. (October 2005)
Much Ado About Nothing: U.S. Claim Construction After Phillips v. AWH Corp., 15th All Ohio Annual Institute on
Intellectual Property, Cleveland and Cincinnati, Ohio (September 2005)


Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; or And Just How Are We Going to Effect Markman Construction in This Matter, Counsel?, 14th Annual International Patent Litigation Conference, London (September 2005)


The Thirty Years (of) War: Things To Do/Not To Do in Trying a Patent Case, State Bar of Texas Annual Meeting, Dallas, Texas (June 2005)

The Thirty Years (of) War: Things To Do/Not To Do in Trying a Patent Case, ABA IPL Section 20th Annual IPL Conference, Arlington, Virginia (April 2005)


Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 9th Annual Patent Law Institute, San Jose, CA (December 2004)


Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 9th Annual Patent Law Institute, Austin, TX (October 2004)


Changing IP Trends in the U.S., Nokia IPR Training Program, Porvoo, Finland (September 2004)

Come One, Come All: U.S. Discovery Availability for Use in Non-U.S. Proceedings, PESI, LLC, Ohio Intellectual Property Law, Beachwood, Ohio (September 2004)


Preserving Errors for Appeal, Dallas Bar Association IP Committee Meeting, Dallas, Texas (June 2004)


Claim Construction, UT School of Law and The Berkeley Center for Law & Technology 4th Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, San Jose, CA (December 2003)


Past, Present and Future of the Federal Circuit, 2nd Annual Law, Technology & the Arts Symposium, Cleveland, Ohio (November 2003)

Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 8th Annual Patent Law Institute, Austin, TX (October 2003)

Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, JIPA Training Seminar, Washington D.C. (October 2003)


Non-Literal Patent Infringement: Figuring Festo: Ruminations While Waiting for Godot (Or The Federal Circuit's En Banc

Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, AIPLA Advanced Copyright/Trademark Practice, Atlanta, Georgia (October 2001)


Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, AIPLA Advanced Copyright/Trademark Practice, Minneapolis, MN (June 2003)

Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, AIPLA Advanced Copyright/Trademark Practice, San Diego, CA (June 2003)

Effective Communication in the Courtroom – Positioning Your Case For a Win, 2003 PricewaterhouseCoopers Leadership Forum, Phoenix, Arizona (February 2003)

Claim Construction, UT School of Law and The Berkeley Center for Law & Technology 3rd Annual Advanced Patent Law Institute, San Jose, CA (December 2002)

Back to the Future: Festo, Equivalents and Presumptive Prosecution History Estoppel, PLI’s 8th Annual Institute for IP Law, San Jose, California (November 2002)


Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; or And Just How Are We Going to Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, EuroLegal, The Essential Guide for Europeans Litigating Patents in the U.S.A., London (October 2002)


Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 7th Annual Patent Law Institute, Austin, TX (October 2002)


Back to the Future: Festo, Equivalents and Presumptive Prosecution History Estoppel, PLI’s 8th Annual Institute for IP Law, New York City (September 2002)


Novelty and Utility in the United States, IPL Summer School, Cambridge UK (August 2002)

How are You Going to Sustain (or Beat) That Verdict or Judgment? Getting Ready in the Trial Court For the Twelve Wise Women and Men, Federal Circuit Bar Association 4th Bench and Bar Conference, San Diego (June 2002)

Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, Federal Circuit Bar Association 4th Bench and Bar Conference, San Diego (June 2002)

How are You Going to Sustain (or Beat) That Verdict or Judgment? Getting Ready in the Trial Court For the Twelve Wise Women and Men, ABA IPL Section Spring CLE Seminar 17th Annual IPL Conference, Washington, D.C. (April 2002)


Back to Basics Novelty and Technical Character in Patents, AIPPI Helsinki Symposium, Helsinki Finland (March 2002)

Factors Involved in Choosing Administered or Ad Hoc Arbitration, and Selecting the Case Administrator, University of Akron School of Law Symposium of Intellectual Property Law and Policy, Akron, Ohio (March 2002)


Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 6th Annual Patent Law Institute, San Jose CA (December 2001)

Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI Seventh Annual Institute for Intellectual Property Law, San Francisco, CA (November 2001)

Claim Construction, UT School of Law, 6th Annual Patent Law Institute, Austin, TX (November 2001)

Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI Seventh Annual Institute for Intellectual Property Law, New York, NY (November 2001)


Effective Strategies and Inevitable Traps While Prosecuting and Litigating Patents In View of The Festo and Other Federal Circuit Decisions, And the Recent Grant of Certiorari In Festo, Center for American and International Law, Dallas TX (November 2001)

Use of Demonstrative Evidence in Patent Litigation, PLI Patent Litigation 2001, Atlanta, Georgia (October 2001)

Now Where Do We Go With This Festo?, Iowa Intellectual Property Law Association, CLE Seminar, Des Moines, IA

Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI How To Prepare and Conduct Markman Hearings, New York, NY (July 2001)


U.S. Patent Litigation - Make Your Case And Your Record Without Losing Your (Judge And Jury) Audience, AIPPI Congress, Workshop VII, Melbourne, Australia (March 2001)

International Panel: Compare and Contrast the Crucial Issues in Determining Choice of Jurisdictions, AIPPI Congress Workshop VII, Melbourne, Australia (March 2001)


Antitrust Considerations in Acquisitions, Standards Setting, Essential Facilities, Intellectual Property Owners Association Annual Meeting, Dallas, TX (November 2000)

Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI Sixth Annual Institute for Intellectual Property Law, San Francisco, CA (November 2000)


Here We Go Again: New (Supplemental) Guidelines, New Precedential Rules for § 112, ¶ 6 Claim Elements, University of Texas School of Law and The Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, University of California at Berkeley, School of Law (Boalt Hall), Austin, TX (November 2000)

Prosecuting Utility Patents In The Region of Chaos: More Problems and Solutions, University of Texas School of Law and The Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, University of California at Berkeley, School of Law (Boalt Hall), Austin, TX (November 2000)

Current Practice in Exploiting and Enforcing United States Patents, DFWIPLA, Fall Intellectual Property Law Seminar, Dallas, TX (October 2000)

Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI Practicing Law Institute, Atlanta, GA (October 2000)

Current Practice in Exploiting and Enforcing United States Patents, DFWIPLA, Fall Intellectual Property Law Seminar, Dallas, TX (October 2000)


Get On Your Marks, Get Set, Go; Or And Just How Are We Going To Effect Markman Construction In This Matter, Counsel?, PLI Sixth Annual Institute for Intellectual Property Law, New York, NY (September 2000)


Prosecuting Utility Patents In The Region of Chaos: More Problems and Solutions, 10th All Ohio Annual Institute on IP, Cleveland/Cincinnati, OH (September 2000)


Patenting Software And Business Methods, University of Akron, School of Law, Second Annual Conference on Intellectual Property Law and Policy, Akron, OH (March 2000)


Section 112, ¶ 6 Means Plus Function Elements — Still Dazed And Confused, Or Is There Light In This Tunnel?, Third DFWIPLA Fall Intellectual Property Law Seminar, Dallas, Texas (October 1999)

Section 112, ¶ 6 Means Plus Function Elements — Still Dazed And Confused, Or Is There Light In This Tunnel?, National Counsel of Intellectual Property Law Associations, Akron, Ohio (September 1999)

Now You Access It, (Maybe) Now You Don't: Concepts Of Anticipation And Obviousness Based Upon Cyber Art, ACCA Conference, Rome, Italy (September 1999)


Trademark/Tradename Enforcement Litigation In the United States, INTA 121st Annual Meeting, Seattle, Washington (May 1999)


Equivalents in United States Patent Law and Precedent, AIPPI Helsinki Symposium, Helsinki, Finland (March 1999)

Now You Access It, (Maybe) Now You Don't: Concepts Of Anticipation And Obviousness Based Upon Cyber Art, AIPLA Mid-Winter Institute, Lake Buena Vista, Florida (February 1999)


The Fallout From Hilton Davis — Doctrine of Equivalents Issues, University of Texas School of Law, Austin, TX (November 1998)


Welcome, My Friends, To The Show That Never Ends — Make Your Case And Your Record without Losing Your (Judge and Jury) Audience, ABA, Section of Intellectual Property Law, Young Lawyers Committee, Washington, D.C. (April 1998)


How To Try A Patent Jury Case Under Markman and Hilton-Davis, Enforcing and Profiting From U.S. Patent Rights At the Millennium, Frankfurt, Germany (September 1997)


How To Try A Patent Jury Case Under Markman and Hilton-Davis, Chicago Bar Association Legal Education Seminar, New Developments in Patent Law, Chicago, IL (September 1997)


How To Try A Patent Jury Case Under Markman and Hilton-Davis, Seventh All Ohio Annual Institute On Intellectual Property, Cincinnati and Cleveland, Ohio (September 1997)

Reconciling Section 112, Paragraph 6 Literal Equivalents With The Doctrine of Equivalents in the Wake of Hilton Davis, Practicing Law Institute, Third Annual Institute for Intellectual Property Law, New York, NY (September 1997)

Fifteen Years After — The Federal Circuit, Association of Corporate Patent Counsel, Aspen, Colorado (June 1997)


To Be Or Not To Be — What Will It Be? Hilton-Davis and the Doctrine of Equivalents, CIPLA Patent Committee, Cleveland, Ohio (October 1996)

Making the Most of the Summary (Jury) Trial, American Bar Association, Section of Litigation (August 1996)


Malpractice Traps in IP Practice and the Grief Process In Texas: A Panel Discussion, State Bar of Texas Annual Meeting, Dallas, TX (June 1996)


Intellectual Property In a Post-GATT/TRIPS World: Implications for Universities and Industries, Texas Technology Transfer Association, Annual Conference, Dallas, TX (September 1995)

Panel Discussion: Practical Ethical Problems in Intellectual Property - Ethical Considerations that May Arise When the Attorney and/or Firm Prosecuting a Patent or Trademark Registration Application (the Prosecuting Attorney or Firm) also Represents the Patent Owner or Trademark Registrant in Litigation Enforcing Those Rights, IIPLA Spring Program, Bettendorf, IA (September 1995)


Panel Discussion: Practical Ethical Problems in Intellectual Property - Ethical Considerations that May Arise When the Attorney and/or Firm Prosecuting a Patent or Trademark Registration Application (the Prosecuting Attorney or Firm) also Represents the Patent Owner or Trademark Registrant in Litigation Enforcing Those Rights, American Bar Association, Section of Intellectual Property Law, 10th Annual Spring CLE Program, Arlington, VA (April 1995)


"Trick or Treat" - Litigation Under the New Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (and Their Local Rule Friends), All Ohio Institute on Intellectual Property, Cleveland and Cincinnati, Ohio (September 1994)

Effect of Foreign Litigation on U.S. Litigation, AIPPI/MIE International Conference, Budapest, Hungary (September 1994)


The Power of Suggestion (Teaching, Reason or Motivation) and Combined-Reference Obviousness, Pittsburgh Intellectual Property Law Association, Pittsburgh, PA (November 1993)


The Power of Suggestion (Teaching, Reason or Motivation) and Combined-Reference Obviousness, National Council of


Attorney Disqualification: Recent Developments & Current Issues, Franklin Pierce Law Center, Patent Litigation: Tactics & Tools (September 1992)

Estopped from Joining in on Feist: How to Prevent the Factual Compiler from Going Without, American Bar Association, Patent, Trademark and Copyright Section, Annual Meeting (August 1992)

Disqualification: An Attorney's Nightmare, or, with Corporate Counsel's Waiver, Just Another Bad Dream, AIPLA 1992 Spring Stated Meeting, Minneapolis, MN (May 1992)


Inequitable Conduct - Ring Out the Old, Ring In the New, Cleveland Intellectual Property Law Association, Chemical and Biotechnology Practice Committee, Cleveland, OH (February 1992)


An Overview of the Procedure of A Section 337 Investigation Before the United States International Trade Commission, 1990 All Ohio Annual Institute on Intellectual Property, Cincinnati and Cleveland, OH (June 1990)

The Ethical, Ultimate Tactical Weapon: Attorney Disqualification in Patent Litigation, State Bar of Texas Annual Meeting, Dallas, TX (June 1990)


Queries and Expectations: An Outline of Issues in Intellectual Property Aspects of Artificial Intelligence, 3rd Annual Computer Information Technology Law Institute, the University of Texas at Austin School of Law, Austin, TX (September 1989)


The Effective Arbitration of Licensing Disputes: Some Practical Considerations, AIPLA Mid-Winter Institute, Marriott Hotel, Marco Island, FL (January 1989)

The Effective Arbitration of Licensing Disputes: Some Practical Considerations, 24th Annual Meeting of LES USA/Canada, Marco Island, Florida (October 1988)

Harmonization and the Patent, Trademark or Copyright-Based Section 337 Investigation before the United States International Trade Commission, AIPPI-MIE International Conference, Budapest, Hungary (September 1988)


How to Use Pre-Trial Motion Practice to the Advantage of the Accused Infringer, Rochester Patent Law Association, Rochester, NY (April 1988)

United States Export Control Procedures, 2nd Annual Southwestern Computer Law & Technology Institute, The University of Texas at Austin, School of Law, Austin, TX (March 1988)


Answered Questions: New Developments Regarding Inequitable Conduct and Examiner Testimony (Parts I and II), Federal Circuit Bar Association, Dallas, TX (February 1988)

Answered Questions: New Developments Regarding Inequitable Conduct and Examiner Testimony, Toledo Patent Law Association (October 1987)

How to Use Pre-Trial Motion Practice to the Advantage of the Accused Infringer, AIPLA (October 1987)

Recent Developments in U.S. Patent, Trademark and Copyright Law, American Bar Association, Annual Meeting, San Francisco, CA (August 1987)
